



# Comparative study between availability and reliability of complex systems

Anjali<sup>1</sup>, Vinit Kumar Sharma<sup>2</sup> and Vinay Kumar<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Book Hive Institute, Narayana Delhi, India

<sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, Shri Ram College, Muzaffarnagar, UP

<sup>3</sup>Bhagwant Institute of Technology, Muzaffarnagar

Received: Oct 30, 2021/ Revised: Dec 18, 2021/Accepted: Dec 21, 2021

## Abstract

Availability and reliability are two important aspects of evaluating the performance and dependability of complex systems. While both concepts are related to system performance, they focus on different aspects. In this paper, a comparative study between availability and reliability of a complex systems for example we have taken AC for performance analysis. Major factors affecting these values of above said system are discussed.

**Keywords:** Reliability, availability, MTTF, redundancy.

## Introduction

Reliability and availability are two essential metrics in assessing the performance and dependability of systems across various industries. Reliability focuses on the probability of a system functioning without failure over a specified period, providing insight into its long-term performance. On the other hand, availability considers not only reliability but also the proportion of time the system is operational, accounting for both planned and unplanned downtimes. While reliability measures the likelihood of success or failure, availability

evaluates the system's real-time operational status, making it particularly crucial for complex systems with diverse components and dependencies. Understanding these concepts is paramount, whether in aerospace, automotive, critical infrastructure, or mission-critical applications like data centers and telecommunications. Reliability assures the system's robustness, while availability ensures its continuous functionality, ultimately impacting overall performance and user satisfaction. Thus, a comprehensive grasp of reliability and availability is indispensable for designing, maintaining, and optimizing systems across diverse industries.

**Table 1. Reliability and Availability of data**

Topic/ Key Points of Comparison	Reliability	Availability
<b>Definition</b>	Reliability is the probability that a system will perform its intended function without failure over a specified period under given conditions.	Availability is the proportion of time that a system is operational and can perform its intended function, considering both planned and unplanned downtime
<b>Focus</b>	It primarily emphasizes the probability of a system or component functioning correctly at any given time	It considers not only the reliability of the system but also the time during which the system is ready and available for use
<b>Time Perspective</b>	Focuses on the long-term probability of failure or success over a specified period	Focuses on the operational status of the system at any given moment, considering both uptime and downtime.
<b>Downtime Consideration</b>	Primarily concerned with the probability of failure or success and does not explicitly consider	Explicitly considers downtime, including both planned and unplanned downtime, as a factor

	downtime.	affecting system performance
<b>Measurements</b>	Typically measured in terms of Mean Time Between Failures (MTBF) or Failure Rate	Measured in terms of the proportion of time the system is operational, often expressed as a percentage (e.g., 99.9% availability) (Wang et al., 2021).
<b>Maintenance and Repair</b>	Focuses on the inherent characteristics of the system and does not explicitly consider maintenance or repair actions	Incorporates maintenance and repair actions into the assessment, as these activities impact the overall operational time
<b>System Complexity</b>	Provides insight into the probability of success or failure but may not capture the dynamic nature of complex systems	Takes into account the real-time operational status, making it particularly relevant for complex systems with various components and dependencies
<b>Practical Implications</b>	Important for understanding the overall robustness and dependability of a system	Crucial for assessing how often the system is available for use, which is vital for mission-critical applications
<b>Use Cases</b>	Often emphasized in contexts where the focus is on preventing failures, such as aerospace, automotive, or critical infrastructure	Particularly crucial in scenarios where continuous operation is essential, such as data centers, telecommunications, and online services

**Conceptual Analysis**

**Availability or reliability: which is better?**

The choice between emphasizing availability or reliability depends on the specific context, requirements, and goals of the system in question. Both availability and reliability are essential metrics, but their importance can vary based on the nature of the system and its intended use (Levitin et al., 2021). Here are some considerations:

<b>Topic/ Key Points of Consideration</b>	<b>Reliability</b>	<b>Availability</b>
<b>Mission and Criticality</b>	Emphasizing reliability is often crucial in systems where failures can have severe consequences, such as aerospace, healthcare, and critical infrastructure. Ensuring that components consistently perform their intended functions without failures is paramount in such cases	In systems where continuous operation is essential, such as data centers, online services, and telecommunications, high availability becomes a priority. The focus is on minimizing downtime and ensuring the system is readily available for users
<b>Cost Considerations</b>	Achieving high reliability may involve using more robust components, implementing redundant systems, and investing in preventive maintenance. This can lead to higher upfront costs but may reduce the frequency of failures.	Emphasizing availability often involves strategies like quick recovery from failures, efficient maintenance procedures, and system redundancy. While this may also incur additional costs, the emphasis is on minimizing downtime and ensuring continuous service
<b>User Experience</b>	Users may prioritize consistent and error-free performance. In applications like medical devices or transportation systems, users may place a high premium on the system's reliability.	For services like online platforms or communication networks, users may prioritize availability and responsiveness. Minimizing service interruptions and downtime is crucial for user satisfaction.
<b>Industry Standards and Regulations</b>	Certain industries may have strict regulations and standards requiring a high level of reliability. Compliance with these standards becomes a priority in sectors like healthcare, aviation, and nuclear power.	Industries with a focus on continuous service, such as IT and telecommunications, may have standards that emphasize high availability and minimal downtime
<b>System Complexity</b>	In complex systems with numerous interconnected components, emphasizing reliability may involve thorough testing, redundancy, and fault-tolerant design to prevent failures	For systems where complexity might lead to more frequent failures, an emphasis on availability can involve rapid detection and recovery mechanisms to minimize downtime
<b>Dynamic Environment</b>	In environments where conditions are stable and predictable, reliability may be easier to achieve. Preventive measures and design considerations can be implemented more effectively	In dynamic and unpredictable environments, focusing on availability becomes critical. Systems need to adapt quickly to changing conditions and recover from failures promptly (Wu et al., 2021).

Ultimately, there is no universal "better" metric between availability and reliability. The choice depends on the specific needs and goals of the system, and in many cases, a balanced approach that considers both metrics is necessary to achieve overall system resilience and performance.

### Example

#### Analytical Study of Reliability and Availability for Air-Conditioning System

The reliability and availability of an air conditioning (AC) system are essential considerations, especially in applications where consistent and dependable cooling is critical (Singh et al., 2020). Here's a brief overview of these concepts in the context of AC systems:

#### Reliability: Reliability Measurement

Reliability is often measured using metrics such as Mean Time Between Failures (MTBF) or Failure Rate (Yang et al., 2019). These metrics provide insights into the frequency and duration of failures.

#### Factors Influencing Reliability of an AC System

##### Component Quality

The reliability of individual components (compressors, fans, motors, etc.) contributes to the overall reliability of the AC system.

##### Design and Engineering

Well-designed AC systems with robust engineering practices tend to have higher reliability.

##### Maintenance Practices

Regular maintenance, including cleaning, lubrication, and inspection, can enhance the reliability of an AC system.

##### Environmental Conditions

Extreme temperatures, humidity, and other environmental factors can affect the reliability of AC systems.

#### Availability

##### Availability Measurement

Availability is commonly expressed as a percentage and is calculated using the formula:  $\text{Availability (\%)} = (\text{Uptime} / (\text{Uptime} + \text{Downtime})) * 100$ .

#### Factors Influencing Availability of an AC System

##### Downtime for Maintenance

Planned downtime for preventive maintenance can impact availability. Well-managed maintenance schedules can minimize disruptions.

##### Response to Failures

The time it takes to detect and respond to failures influences the availability.

Quick identification and resolution of issues are crucial (Yen et al., 2020).

##### Redundancy

Incorporating redundancy in critical components (such as having multiple compressors) can enhance availability by allowing the system to continue functioning even if one component fails.

##### Fault-Tolerant Design

Systems with fault-tolerant design features are more likely to maintain availability in the presence of component failures.

##### Emergency and Backup Systems

Availability can be improved by having backup systems or emergency cooling solutions to ensure continued operation during unexpected events (Zhao et al., 2018).

#### Suggestions for Improving Reliability and Availability

##### Regular Maintenance

Implementing a regular maintenance schedule can prevent unexpected failures and improve both reliability and availability.

##### Quality Components

Choosing high-quality components during the initial design and installation phase contributes to the overall reliability of the system (Wang et al., 2012).

##### Monitoring and Diagnostics

Implementing monitoring systems and diagnostics tools can help detect issues early and facilitate quick responses to minimize downtime (Lado et al., 2018).

##### Redundancy

Incorporating redundancy in critical components can enhance the availability of the system by providing alternatives in case of failures (Kumar et al., 2019).

## Emergency Planning

Having contingency plans and emergency cooling solutions in place ensures continued operation during unexpected events (Shinde et al., 2019).

## Training and Staff Competency

Well-trained personnel can contribute to effective maintenance, quick problem resolution, and improved overall system performance (Aggarwal and Malik, 2020).

## Decision

Both reliability and availability are crucial for ensuring the effective and continuous operation of an AC system. A well-designed system, quality components, regular maintenance, and effective response to failures contribute to achieving high reliability and availability. The specific requirements may vary based on the application and criticality of the cooling system in a given context.

## Conclusion

In summary, reliability and availability are complementary concepts that provide different perspectives on the performance of complex systems. While reliability focuses on the long-term probability of success or failure, availability considers the real-time operational status, including both uptime and downtime. Both metrics are crucial for assessing and improving the performance, dependability, and resilience of complex systems. No one can say that one is better than other because it depends upon the requirement of priority. A relationship between Reliability and Availability may be observed in the following terms:

### Interconnected Concepts:

While distinct, reliability and availability are interconnected. Reliability contributes to overall availability, but availability provides a more comprehensive view by considering operational factors.

### Trade-offs

In some cases, there may be trade-offs between maximizing reliability and achieving high availability. For example,

aggressive maintenance schedules may enhance reliability but lead to more planned downtime.

## References

- Aggarwal, C., & Malik, S. C. (2020). A standby repairable system with rest of server between repairs. *Journal of Statistics and Management Systems*, 23(8), 1485–1496.  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/09720510.2020.1737382>
- Kontrec, N., Panić, S., Petrović, M., & Milošević, H. (2018). A stochastic model for estimation of repair rate for system operating under performance based logistics. *Eksploracija I Niezawodność – Maintenance and Reliability*, 20(1), 68–72.  
<https://doi.org/10.17531/ein.2018.1.9>
- Kumar, A., Pawar, D., & Malik, S. C. (2019). Profit analysis of a warm standby non-identical unit system with single server performing in normal/abnormal environment. *Life Cycle Reliability and Safety Engineering*, 8(3), 219–226.  
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s41872-019-00083-2>
- Lado, A. K., & Singh, V. (2019). Cost assessment of complex repairable system consisting two subsystems in series configuration using Gumbel Hougaard family copula. *International Journal of Quality & Reliability Management*, 36(10), 1683–1698.  
<https://doi.org/10.1108/ijqrm-12-2018-0322>
- Levitin, G., Finkelstein, M., & Dai, Y. (2021). Optimal shock-driven switching strategies with elements reuse in heterogeneous warm-standby systems. *Reliability Engineering & System Safety*, 210, 107517.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.res.2021.107517>
- Performance analysis of a complex repairable system with two subsystems in series configuration with an imperfect switch. (2020). *Journal of Mathematical and Computational Science*.  
<https://doi.org/10.28919/jmcs/4399>
- Wang, C., Xing, L., & Amari, S. V. (2012). A fast approximation method for reliability analysis of cold-standby systems. *Reliability Engineering & System Safety*, 106, 119–126.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.res.2012.06.007>
- Wang, G., Hu, L., Zhang, T., & Wang, Y. (2021). Reliability modeling for a repairable (k1, k2)-out-of-n: G system with phase-type vacation time. *Applied Mathematical Modelling*, 91, 311–321.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apm.2020.08.071>
- Wu, C. H., Yen, T. C., & Wang, K. H. (2021). Availability and Comparison of Four Retrieval Systems with Imperfect Coverage and General Repair Times. *Reliability Engineering & System Safety*, 212, 107642.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.res.2021.107642>
- Yang, D. Y., & Tsao, C. L. (2019). Reliability and availability analysis of standby systems with working vacations and retrieval of failed components. *Reliability Engineering & System Safety*, 182, 46–55.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.res.2018.09.020>
- Yen, T. C., & Wang, K. H. (2020). Cost benefit analysis of four retrieval systems with warm standby units and imperfect coverage. *Reliability Engineering & System Safety*, 202, 107006.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.res.2020.107006>
- Zhao, X., Guo, X., & Wang, X. (2018). Reliability and maintenance policies for a two-stage shock model with self-healing mechanism. *Reliability Engineering & System Safety*, 172, 185–194.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.res.2017.12.013>

### How to cite this article

Anjali, Sharma, V. K. and Kumar, V. (2021). Comparative study between availability and reliability of complex systems. *Science Archives*, Vol. 2(4), 392-395.  
<https://doi.org/10.47587/SA.2021.2421>

This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)



**Publisher's Note:** The Journal stays neutral about jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.